

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

DENISE A. BRIGHT, individually  
and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CONAGRA FOODS INC.; BRUCE ROHDE;  
MOGENS C. BAY; STEPHEN G. BUTLER;  
ALICE B. HAYES; W.G. JURGENSEN;  
CARL E. REICHARDT; HOWARD G.  
BUFFETT; JOHN T. CHAIN, JR.,  
STEVEN F. GOLDSTONE; MARK H.  
RANENHORST; RONALD W. ROSKENS;  
KENNETH STINSON; DAVID H.  
BATCHELDER; ROBERT KRANE; CONAGRA  
FOODS EMPLOYEES BENEFITS  
COMMITTEE; JOHN DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

8:05CV348

---

MICHAEL A. RANTALA, individually  
and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CONAGRA FOODS INC.; BRUCE ROHDE;  
MOGENS C. BAY; STEPHEN G. BUTLER;  
ALICE B. HAYES; W.G. JURGENSEN;  
CARL E. REICHARDT; HOWARD G.  
BUFFETT; JOHN T. CHAIN, JR.,  
STEVEN F. GOLDSTONE; MARK H.  
RANENHORST; RONALD W. ROSKENS;  
KENNETH STINSON; DAVID H.  
BATCHELDER; ROBERT KRANE; CONAGRA  
FOODS EMPLOYEES BENEFITS  
COMMITTEE; JOHN DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

---

8:05CV349

RICHARD J. BOYD,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	8:05CV386
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CONAGRA FOODS INC.; BRUCE ROHDE;	)	ORDER
MOGENS C. BAY; STEPHEN G. BUTLER;	)	
ALICE B. HAYES; W.G. JURGENSEN;	)	
CARL E. REICHARDT; HOWARD G.	)	
BUFFETT; JOHN T. CHAIN, JR.,	)	
STEVEN F. GOLDSTONE; MARK H.	)	
RANENHORST; RONALD W. ROSKENS;	)	
KENNETH STINSON; DAVID H.	)	
BATCHELDER; ROBERT KRANE; CONAGRA	)	
FOODS EMPLOYEES BENEFITS	)	
COMMITTEE; JOHN DOES 1-20,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

This matter is before the Court on the stipulation and joint motion regarding extension of time for plaintiffs to file a consolidated amended complaint, for defendants to respond to the consolidated amended complaint, and briefing schedule (Filing No. 24 in 8:05CV348; Filing No. 23 in 8:05CV349; Filing No. 13 in 8:05CV386). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) The joint motion is granted.
- 2) Plaintiffs shall file and serve a consolidated amended complaint on or before October 28, 2005.
- 3) Defendants need not file an answer or other response to the original complaints and shall have until December 12, 2005, to respond to the amended complaint.
- 4) If defendants file a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, plaintiffs shall have until January 26, 2006, to file an opposition thereto.

5) Defendants shall have until February 27, 2006, to file and serve a reply to plaintiffs' opposition.

DATED this 24th day of October, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

---

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge  
United States District Court